UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/743,173	01/14/2002	Michela Seveso	P24376 USA	5272
Patrick J Kelly	7590 04/09/200	EXAMINER		
Synnestvedt &	Lechner	ANGELL, JON E		
2600 Aramark 1101 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19107-2950			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/743,173	SEVESO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		J. E. Angell	1635			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTED IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing appearance of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21.	lanuary 2009				
-		is action is non-final.				
3)	/ _					
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,43-52 and 55-65</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	☐ Claim(s) <u>57-65</u> is/are allowed.					
·	☑ Claim(s) <u>57-65</u> is/are anowed. ☑ Claim(s) <u>1,43-52,55 and 56</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to: Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
	ion Papers	·				
		0.5				
•	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
10)[
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/743,173 Page 2

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

This Action is in response to the communication filed on 1/21/2009.

The amendment filed 1/21/2009 is acknowledged and has been entered.

Claims 1, 43-52, 55-65 are currently pending in the application and are addressed herein.

1. Applicant's arguments are addressed on a per section basis. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this Action can be found in a prior Office Action. Any rejections not reiterated in this action have been withdrawn as being obviated by the amendment of the claims and/or applicant's arguments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1, 43-52, 55, 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. **This is a new matter rejection**.

37 CFR 1.118 (a) states that "No amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of an application after the filing date of the application".

MPEP §2163.06 notes:

If new matter is added to the claims, the examiner should reject the claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph - written description requirement. In re Rasmussen, 650 F.2d 1212, 211 USPQ 323 (CCPA 1981).

Art Unit: 1635

MPEP §2163.02 indicates that:

Whenever the issue arises, the fundamental factual inquiry is whether a claim defines an invention that is clearly conveyed to those skilled in the art at the time the application was filed...If a claim is amended to include subject matter, limitations, or terminology not present in the application as filed, involving a departure from, addition to, or deletion from the disclosure of the application as filed, the examiner should conclude that the claimed subject matter is not described in that application.

MPEP §2163.06 further notes:

When an amendment is filed in reply to an objection or rejection based on 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, a study of the entire application is often necessary to determine whether or not "new matter" is involved. Applicant should therefore specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. (Emphasis added).

In the instant case, claim 1 has been amended to include the new limitation:

"wherein the amount of enhancer effective to enhance the intracellular delivery is about 0.013mM to 13mM when said enhancer has a carbon chain length of from 9 to 14 carbon atoms..."

Applicants point to the specification at page 12, lines 29-31 and at page 13, line 31 to page 14, line 3 as support for the new limitation. However, the indicated passages of the specification only disclose C10 at concentrations in the range of 0.013-13mM. The indicated passages do not disclose enhancers having a carbon chain length of 9 to 14 carbon atoms at a concentration in the range of 0.013-13mM, as is now claimed. Therefore, the claim encompasses a genus of enhancers (C9-C14) at a particular concentration, but the specification only discloses a single species of the claimed genus: an enhancer having 10 carbon atoms (C10) in the range of 0.013-13mM. Furthermore, the rest of the specification was searched and support for the claimed limitations could not be found. Accordingly, the specification does not provide support for the claimed limitations indicated above.

Application/Control Number: 09/743,173 Page 4

Art Unit: 1635

Should Applicants traverse, they should identify the specific page and line numbers which provide support for the amendment.

To the extent that the claimed compositions and/or methods are not described in the instant disclosure, the claims are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention, since a disclosure cannot teach one to make or use something that has not been described.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 57-65 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 1/21/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. Applicants argue that the specification at page 12, lines 29-31 and at page 13, line 31 to page 14, line 3 as support for the new limitation. This is not persuasive for the reasons indicated herein. For instance, the specification does not provide support for the entire range of enhancers (C9-C14) at required range of concentrations (0.013-13mM).

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/743,173

Art Unit: 1635

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. E. Angell whose telephone number is 571-272-0756. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:00 a.m.-5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Douglas Schultz can be reached on 571-272-0763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/743,173 Page 6

Art Unit: 1635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. E. Angell/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1635